IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 9644 of 2005

Between:

A. Venkata Subba Rao, S/o A.S.S.Prakasa Rao,
Paloncha, Khammam District, R/o Donkarai,
East Godavari (District)

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Managing Director, A.P. Power Generation
Corporation Ltd, Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer (O&M), Kothagudem Thermal Power
Station, Paloncha, Khammam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue any appropriate writ order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering and disposing of the petitioners representation dated 3-12-2004 for appointing the petitioner as Sub-Engineer or against any other suitable post in the existing/future vacancies on preferential basis as arbitrary, illegal and violative of Article 14, 16 and 21 of the constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 3-12-2004 and pass such other orders as may be just.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.ANDERAVI KUMAR, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondents: SMT. E.URMILA (S.C. for TRANSCO)

The Court, at the admission stage, made the following:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 9644 of 2005

ORDER:

Questioning the action of the respondents in not considering and disposing of the petitioner's representation, dated 03-12-2004, for appointing him as Sub-Engineer or in any other suitable post in the existing vacancies, on preferential basis, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondents.

3. Admittedly, the main grievance of the petitioner is to dispose of his representation, dated 03-12-2004, which is pending consideration before the respondent authorities. At this stage, appropriate decision has to be taken by the respondents in accordance with rules and regulations.

4. Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondents to take appropriate decision to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation, dated 03-12-2004, in accordance rules and regulations, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

April 27, 2005

KVR

To

1 The Managing Director, A.P. Power Generation Corporation Ltd, Vidyut Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer (O&M), Kothagudem Thermal Power

Station, Paloncha, Khammam District.

3. 2 C.D. copies.